Part II: Example-Driven Walkthrough of the CORAS Method

> Atle Refsdal CCS 2011-10-17




Overview of Part II

- The CORAS method
- ATM example
- Walkthrough of the 8 steps
- Summary

The CORAS Method

- Asset-driven defensive risk analysis method
- Operationalization of ISO 31000 risk analysis process in 8 steps
- Detailed guidelines explaining how to conduct each step in practice
- Modeling guidelines for how to use the CORAS language

The 8 Steps of the CORAS Method



Air Traffic Management (ATM)

- Aggregation of services provided by Air Traffic Controllers (ATCOs)
 - Main responsibility is to maintain horizontal and vertical separation among aircrafts and possible obstacles
 - Limited interaction with the external world
 - Humans at the centre of the decision and work process



The ATM Case

- Risk analysis of selected ATM services
 - Arrival management
 - Area Control Center (ACC)
 - The role and responsibilities of the Air Traffic Controllers (ATCOs)
- Main security property:
 - Information provision



Step 1: Preparation for the Analysis

- Objectives
 - Information about customer, purpose and domain of analysis
 - Decide size of analysis
 - Ensure customer is prepared
 - Practical organization of analysis
- Interaction between the customer and the analysis team
 - Preferably face-to-face meeting

ATM Example

- Customer is a national air navigation service provider
- Want risk analysis with focus on
 - Arrival management, esp. the role of the air traffic controllers (ATCOs) in the area control centre (ACC)

CORAS


 The risk analysis team and the customer decides on an analysis of 250 personhours

Step 2: Customer presentation of target

- Objective
 - Initial understanding of what to analyze
 - Focus, scope and assumptions
- Interaction between the customer and the analysis team
 - Present CORAS terminology and method
 - Decide the goals and target of the analysis
 - Decide the focus and scope of the analysis

ATM Example

- Customer presents the work environment of ATCOs
- Customer presents the desired focus
 - Information provision
 - Compliance with regulations
- Customer presents the desired focus
 - Work processes at ACCs
 - Arrival management
 - ATCOs roles and responsibilities




Step 3: Refining the Target Description Using Asset Diagrams

- Objective
 - Ensure common understanding of target including scope, focus and assets

- Face-to-face meeting
 - Analysis team presents the target
 - Asset identification
 - High-level analysis

Target Description


 The target description is the documentation of all the information that serves as the input to and the basis for a risk analysis




Target Description - Definitions

- Asset: Something to which a party assigns value and hence for which the party requires protection
- Assumption: Something we take as granted or accept as true (although it may not be so)
- Context of analysis: The premises for and the background of a risk analysis. This
 includes the purposes of the analysis and to whom the analysis is addressed
- Environment of target: The surrounding things of relevance that may affect or interact with the target; in the most general case, the rest of the world
- Focus of analysis: The main issue or central area of attention in a risk analysis. The focus is within the scope of the analysis
- Party: An organization, company, person, group or other body on whose behalf a risk analysis is conducted
- Scope of analysis: The extent or range of a risk analysis. The scope defines the border of the analysis, in other words what is held inside of and what is held outside of the analysis
- Target of analysis: The system, organization, enterprise, or the like that is the subject of a risk analysis

- The analysis team presents their understanding of the target
- Target of analysis described using UML
 - Conceptual overview using UML class diagrams
 - Component structure using UML structured classifiers
 - Activities using UML interactions (interaction overview diagrams and sequence diagrams)




15



- SUP is an air traffic controller (ATCO) supervising the traffic management of an ACC island
- ATCOs in the ACC island work in teams of two






CORAS

17

ATM Example: Asset identification

- Assets are the values the parties of the analysis wants to protect
- Identified assets are presented in CORAS asset diagrams



ATM Example: High-level analysis

- Threat, vulnerabilities, threat scenarios and unwanted incidents are identified in a brainstorming session
- Identify biggest worries and increase understanding of focus and scope

ATM Example: High-level analysis

	<u>A</u> 🔆 💲	
Who/what causes it?	How? What is the scenario or incident? What is harmed	What makes it possible?
Component failure; power loss	Provisioning of information to ATCO fails due to loss of CWP	Insufficient CWP maintenance
Software error	The consolidation of data from several radar sources fails	Lack of redundant aircraft tracking systems
Component failure; radar disturbance	Malfunctioning of radar antenna; loss of aircraft tracking	Insufficient radar maintenance
Software bugs	False or redundant alerts from safety tool	Insufficient software testing

Step 4: Approval of Target Description

- Objective
 - Ensure target description is correct and complete
 - Ranking of assets
 - Scales for risk estimation
 - Risk evaluation criteria
- Face-to-face meeting
 - Structured walk-through of target description
 - Plenary discussion on assets, scales and criteria

Consequence Scales

- One consequence scale for each asset is defined
 - Note: Sometimes one scale applies to several assets
- Consequences can be qualitative or quantitative
- Scales can be continuous, discrete or with intervals

ATM Example: Consequence Scale

- One consequence scale for each of the three assets is defined
 - Two direct assets and one indirect asset
- In the ATM example, one consequence scale applies to the two direct availability assets

Consequence	Description
Catastrophic	Catastrophic accident
Major	Abrupt maneuver required
Moderate	Recovery from large reduction in separation
Minor	Increasing workload of ATCOs or pilots
Insignificant	No hazardous effect on operations

The consequence and likelihood scales are partly based on requirements and advisory material provided by EUROCONTROL

Likelihood Scale

- One likelihood scale is defined
 - The scale is used for all unwanted incidents and threat scenarios
- Likelihoods can be
 - Qualitative or quantitative
 - Probabilities or frequencies
- Scales can be continuous, discrete or with intervals

ATM Example: Likelihood Scale

 Qualitative likelihood scale in terms of frequency

Likelihood	Description
Certain	A very high number of similar occurrences already on record; has occurred a very high number of times at the same location/time
Likely	A significant number of similar occurrences already on record; has occurred a significant number of times at the same location
Possible	Several similar occurrences on record; has occurred more than once at the same location
Unlikely	Only very few similar incidents on record when considering a large traffic volume or no records on a small traffic volume
Rare	Has never occurred yet throughout the total lifetime of the system



ATM Example: Risk Evaluation Criteria

Consequence


		OUISEquence				
		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Likelihood	Rare					
	Unlikely					
	Possible					
	Likely					
	Certain					

- High risk: Unacceptable and must be treated
- Medium risk: Must be evaluated for possible treatment
- Low risk: Must be monitored

Step 5: Risk Identification Using Threat Diagrams


- Objective
 - Identify risk: where, when, why and how they may occur
- Workshop conducted as a brainstorming session
 - Involving people of different background
 - Assets and high-level analysis as starting point
 - Threats, threat scenarios, vulnerabilities and unwanted incidents documented on-the-fly using threat diagrams

ATM Example: Risk Identification





ATM Example: Risk Identification






Step 6: Risk Estimation Using Threat Diagrams

- Objective
 - Determine the level of identified risks
- Workshop
 - Involving people of different background
 - Walk-through of threat diagrams
 - Likelihood estimates on threat scenarios, unwanted incidents and relations between them

CORAS


 Consequence estimates on relation between unwtanted incidents and assets

ATM Example: Risk Estimation





ATM Example: Risk Estimation






Step 7: Risk Evaluation Using Risk Diagrams

- Objective
 - Determine which risks are unacceptable and must be evaluated for treatment
- Off-line activity
 - Calculate risk levels from estimates
 - Present risks in risk diagrams
- Assess potential impact of identified risk
 - Risks that accumulate
 - Risks with respect to indirect assets


ATM Example: Indirect Assets



CORAS


34

ATM Example: Risk Diagrams





ATM Example: Risk Diagrams





ATM Example: Risk Evaluation

²oncoquonoc

		Consequence				
		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Likelihood	Rare					
	Unlikely		R5	R2		
	Possible	R4	R1, R6	R3		
	Likely					
	Certain					


- Risk levels are calculated using the risk matrix
- The risk matrix moreover serves as the risk evaluation criteria

Step 8: Risk Treatment Using Treatment Diagrams

- Objective
 - Indentify cost effective treatments for unacceptable risks
- Workshop with brainstorming session
 - Involving people of different background
 - Walk-through of threat diagrams
 - Identify treatments to unacceptable risks



ATM Example: Treatment Diagram



Summary

- CORAS is a model-driven approach to risk analysis
- Language and tool support for all phases
- Practical guidelines
 - How to conduct the various tasks
 - How to do the risk modeling
- Closely based on the ISO 31000 standard